UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/532,026  | 04/21/2005     | Yves-Marie Morgan    | 33901-174PUS        | 6761             |
| Thomas Langer                                     | 7590 06/29/200 | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| Cohen Pontani Lieberman & Pavane                  |                |                      | PEREZ, JAMES M      |                  |
| 551 Fifth Avenue Suite 1210<br>New York, NY 10176 |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                |                      | 2611                |                  |
|   |                |                      |                     |                  |
|   |                |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                |                      | 06/29/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Interview Summary   | 10/532,026 MORGAN ET AL.   |  | <b>-</b> .  |
|---|--|--|---|
| interview Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit 2611  N/A.  to if an agreement was equalizer, and the correct the output of a age 2, lines 33-35 of the would render to would render the classification. The correct the classification is the correct that would render the classification. The correct that would render the classification are correctly been filed, applied to the correct that would render the classification. The correct that would render the classification are correctly been filed, applied to the correct that would render the classification. The correct that would render the classification are correctly been filed, and the correctly been filed. The correctly been filed to the correctly been filed to the correctly been filed to the correctly been filed. The correctly been filed to the c |   |
|   | JAMES M. PEREZ   | 2611   |   |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO  | personnel):  |  |   |
| (1) <u>JAMES M. PEREZ</u> .   | (3)  |  |   |
| (2) <u>BRADELY MARAZAS (Reg: 51,997)</u> .  | (4)  |  |   |
| Date of Interview: 23 June 2009.  |  |  |   |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2  | 2)∏ applicant's representative   | e]   |   |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:   | e) No.   |  |   |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 8</u> .  |  |  |   |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Okazaki, Kennedy, and  | <u>l Primrose</u> .  |  |   |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g   | )∏ was not reached. h)∏ N  | I/A.   |   |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Cited figure 4 of Okazaki addition/elimination device is additional circuitry which mittic equalizer thus solving a known problem of time slippage (lospecification) in blind equalizers.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW CONTROLLED FOR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE | as whole represents a blind exates the synchronization errors as of timing phenomena page ments which the examiner agroup of the amendments that which the synchronization of the amendments that which control of the action has already of one month or thirt of the thirt of the synchronization of the | requalizer, and the rat the output of 22, lines 33-35 of reed would render the sould render | e claimed f a blind f the instant er the claims claims  OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO |
| /James M Perez/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2611  |  |  |   |

Application No.

Applicant(s)